

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/647,743	10/04/2000	Stefan Disch	1998/G-004	2417
23416	7590 08/20/2002			
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP			EXAMINER	
1220 N MARKET STREET P O BOX 2207			SZEKELY, PETER A	
WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No. Application No	antis) Dischetati				
Office Action Summary	Examiner Stake/4	Group Art Unit				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	n the cover sheet beneath t	the correspondence address –				
Period for Reply	2					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MON	NTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailiterm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	y within the statutory minimum of expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the manager of the application to become	thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status/	10/07					
Status/ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•				
☐ This action is FINAL.						
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935. 	or formal matters, prosecutio C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	n as to the merits is closed in				
Disposition of Claims						
Delain(3)		s/are pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s)						
□ Claim(s)	i	_ is/are allowed.				
D Claim(s) [2		s/are rejected.				
☐ Claim(s)		•				
☐ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election equirement				
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		•				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are object						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority_under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)						
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).					
✓ All ✓ Some* □ None of the:						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	ceived.					
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re		•				
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents						
in this national stage application from the International						
*Certified copies not received:		•				
Attachment(s)						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	s) 🗆 Interviev	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413				
✓ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of	$\hfill \square$ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Other	□ Other				
Office Action Summary						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. ___

Application/Control Number: 09/647,743

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for copolymerizing trioxane in the presence of dioxolane using a boron trifluoride initiator, does not reasonably provide enablement for copolymerizing trioxane with any cyclic ether using any cationic initiator. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. See page 4, lines 10-19 and the Examples.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Walling et al. 3,027,352, Walker 3,235,531, Kakos, Jr. 3,484,399, Wolters et al. 3,743,614 or Collart et al. 4,772662.
- Walling et al. disclose applicants' composition and process in Example 8.
 Walker teaches 6000 grams of trioxane reacted with 300 grams of dioxolane in Example

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 09/647,743 Art Unit: 1714 1. Kakos, Jr. recites 0.1 to 15% oxyethylene in the copolymer, encompassing applicants' claimed range. Wolters et al. make a copolymer from 96% trioxane and 4% 1,3-dioxolane. Collart et al. reveal between 0.1 and 50 mole% comonomer relative to the trioxane present in column 3, lines 30-39. Applicants' claims are not novel. All properties are inherent in the composition. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6. examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is 703-308-2460. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-873-9311 for regular communications and 703-872-9310 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661. Peter Szekely **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1714 P.S. August 15, 2002